1 2 3 4 5 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE 6 7 STATE OF WASHINGTON, et al., NO. C18-1115RSL 8 Plaintiffs, 9 ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR v. RELIEF FROM PRO HAC VICE 10 UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF REQUIREMENTS STATE, et al., 11 Defendants. 12 13 14 This matter comes before the Court on "Plaintiff State of Oregon's Motion for Relief 15 from Pro Hac Vice Requirements." Dkt. # 57. The motion is DENIED. As is the norm in this 16 district, counsel who are not admitted to practice before the Court must submit an application to 17 participate in this case pro hac vice and must be represented by local counsel. See State of 18 Washington v. Trump, C17-0141JLR. Because Senior Assistant Attorney General Scott J. 19 Kaplan is a member of the Washington State Bar Association, he need not submit a pro hac vice 20 application. He may not, however, act as local counsel for purposes of LCR 83.1(d). 21 22 Dated this 16th day of August, 2018. 23 MMS Casnik 24 United States District Judge 25 26 27 28 ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RELIEF

FROM PRO HAC VICE REQUIREMENTS - 1